arXiv:1509.01916v1 [math.QA] 7 Sep 2015

Derivations, automorphisms and second cohomology of generalized loop Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras [*]

Haibo Chen, Guangzhe Fan, Jianzhi Han[†], Yucai Su
Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China

Abstract: The derivation algebras, automorphism groups and second cohomology groups of the generalized loop Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras are completely determined in this paper.

Key words: generalized loop Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra, derivation, automorphism, 2-cocycle.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 17B05, 17B40, 17B65, 17B68.

1 Introduction

It is well-known that the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra is an important infinite dimensional Lie algebra, whose theory plays a crucial role in many areas of mathematics and physics. The original Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra was introduced in [10], in the context of nonequilibrium statistical physics, containing as subalgebras both the Lie algebra of invariance of the free Schrödinger equation and the Virasoro algebra, whose Lie bialgebra structures and representations were investigated (see, e.g., [7, 9, 24]). Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic 0, Γ a proper additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}$, and let $s \in \mathbb{F}$ be such that $s \notin \Gamma$ and $2s \in \Gamma$. We denote $\Gamma_1 = s + \Gamma$ and $T = \Gamma \cup \Gamma_1$. It is obvious that T is an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}$. The generalized Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra $\mathfrak{gsv}[\Gamma, s]$ is an infinite dimensional Lie algebra with $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{L_\alpha, M_\alpha, Y_{\alpha+s} \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ subject to the following relations:

$$[L_\alpha, L_\beta] = (\beta-\alpha)L_{\alpha+\beta},\ [L_\alpha, M_\beta] = \beta M_{\alpha+\beta},\ [L_\alpha, Y_{\beta+s}] = (\beta+s-\tfrac{\alpha}{2})Y_{\alpha+\beta+s},$$
$$[M_\alpha, M_\beta] = 0,\ [M_\alpha, Y_{\beta+s}] = 0,\ [Y_{\alpha+s}, Y_{\beta+s}] = (\beta-\alpha)M_{\alpha+\beta+2s}.$$

Derivations, central extensions, automorphisms and Verma modules were studied in [19, 21],

The *generalized loop Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra* $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$ is the tensor product $\mathfrak{gsv}[\Gamma, s] \otimes \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}]$ of the generalized Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra $\mathfrak{gsv}[\Gamma, s]$ with the Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}]$, subject to relations:

$$[L_{\alpha,i}, L_{\beta,j}] = (\beta-\alpha)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}, \qquad [L_{\alpha,i}, M_{\beta,j}] = \beta M_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$[L_{\alpha,i}, Y_{\beta+s,j}] = (\beta+s-\frac{\alpha}{2})Y_{\alpha+\beta+s,i+j}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$[M_{\alpha,i}, M_{\beta,j}] = 0, \qquad [M_{\alpha,i}, Y_{\beta+s,j}] = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

$$[Y_{\alpha+s,i}, Y_{\beta+s,j}] = (\beta-\alpha)M_{\alpha+\beta+2s,i+j} \quad \text{for any } \alpha,\beta \in \Gamma \text{ and } i,j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.4}$$

---

[*] Supported by NSF grant no. 11371278, 11431010, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China, Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission and Program for Young Excellent Talents in Tongji University.

[†] Corresponding author: J. Han (jzhan@tongji.edu.cn).

where in general, $X_{\gamma,i} = X_\gamma \otimes t^i$ (and denoted by $X_\gamma t^i$ for short) for any $X \in \{L, M, Y\}$, $\gamma \in T$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here and below, we use the convention that if an undefined notation appears in an expression, we treat it as zero; for instance, $L_{\alpha+s} = 0$, $M_{\beta+s} = 0$ and $Y_\alpha = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Note that $\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{F}M_{0,i}$ is exactly the center of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$.

The structure theory of infinite dimensional Lie algebras have been extensively studied due to its important role in Lie algebra (see, e.g., [1, 3–5, 11–18, 22]). Loop algebras are certain types of Lie algebra, of particular interest in theoretical physics, which contribute a large ingredient to the structure theory of Lie algebras such as affine Lie algebras in [8]. Recently, generalized loop super-Virasoro algebra, loop Witt algebra and generalized loop Virasoro algebra were studied in [2, 6, 20, 23].

In this paper, we study derivations, automorphisms and second cohomology groups of generalized loop Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras. As a result, we also determine the universal central extension of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$ (cf. (4.21)). To determine the derivation algebra $\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$ of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$, the most effective way is to decompose it into a sum of the inner derivation algebra $\mathrm{ad}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$ and the derivation subalgebra $\big(\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)\big)_0$ consisting of all homogenous derivations of degree zero (see [4] and Lemma 2.3). Then the remaining task is to determine $\big(\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)\big)_0$, which is the main goal of Section 2. While determining the second cohomology group of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$ depends heavily on computation, the determination of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$ of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$ is the most difficult part among these three kinds of structures. For the problem of determining $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$, it is easy but important to observe that the problem can be reduced to the case $\mathfrak{gsv}[\Gamma, s]$ when setting $t = 1$. By this and by constructing some kinds of concrete automorphisms we give an explicit characterization of the automorphism group of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$. The main results of the present paper are summarized in Theorems 2.6, 3.2 and 4.4.

2 Derivation algebra of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$

A linear map $D : \mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s) \to \mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$ is called a *derivation* of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$ if

$$D\big([x, y]\big) = [D(x), y] + [x, D(y)], \quad \forall\, x, y \in \mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s);$$

if in addition, $D = \mathrm{ad}_z$ for some $z \in \mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$, then D is called an *inner derivation*, where $\mathrm{ad}_z(x) = [z, x]$ for any $x \in \mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$. Denote by $\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$ and $\mathrm{ad}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$ the vector space of all derivations and inner derivations, respectively. Then

$$H^1(\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s), \mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)) \cong \mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)/\mathrm{ad}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$$

is *the first cohomology group of* $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$.

Note from the relations (1.1) and (1.2) that $L_{0,0}$ is semisimple, which gives a T-grading on $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$: $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)=\oplus_{\mu\in T}\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)_{\mu}$, with

$$\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)_{\mu}=\{x\in\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\mid[L_{0,0},x]=\mu x\}=\{L_{\mu,j},\ M_{\mu,j},\ Y_{\mu,j}\,|\,j\in\mathbb{Z}\}. \tag{2.1}$$

We say that a derivation $D\in\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ is of degree $\gamma\in T$ if $D\big(\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)_{\alpha}\big)\subset\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)_{\alpha+\gamma}$ for all $\alpha\in T$. Let $\big(\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\big)_{\gamma}$ be the space of all derivations of degree γ.

The following result first appeared in [15].

Lemma 2.1. *For any derivation $D\in\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$, one has*

$$D=\sum_{\gamma\in T}D_{\gamma},\quad\textit{where}\quad D_{\gamma}\in\big(\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\big)_{\gamma}, \tag{2.2}$$

which holds in the sense that for every $x\in\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$, only finitely many $D_{\gamma}(x)\neq0$, and $D(x)=\sum_{\gamma\in T}D_{\gamma}(x)$ (such a sum in (2.2) is called summable).

Proof. For any $x_{\alpha}\in\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)_{\alpha}$ and $D\in\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$, assume that $D(x_{\alpha})=\sum_{\beta\in T}y_{\beta}$. We define $D_{\gamma}(x_{\alpha})=y_{\alpha+\gamma}$. Then D_{γ} is a derivation by a direct computation. □

Lemma 2.2. *If $\gamma\neq0$, then every $D\in\big(\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\big)_{\gamma}$ is an inner derivation.*

Proof. It follows from (2.1) and applying D to $[L_{0,0},x]=\mu x$ for any $x\in\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)_{\mu}$ with $\mu\in T$ that

$$\mu D(x)=[D(L_{0,0}),x]+[L_{0,0},D(x)].$$

From this, using $D(x)\in\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)_{\mu+\gamma}$ and (2.1), one has $D(x)=\mathrm{ad}_{-\gamma^{-1}D(L_{0,0})}(x)$. Hence, $D=\mathrm{ad}_{-\gamma^{-1}D(L_{0,0})}$, an inner derivation. □

As a consequence of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we immediately have the following result.

Lemma 2.3. $\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)=\big(\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\big)_{0}+\mathrm{ad}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$.

Proof. For $\gamma\neq0$, assume $D_{\gamma}=\mathrm{ad}_{u_{\gamma}}$ for some $u_{\gamma}\in\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)_{\gamma}$. If $\{\gamma\neq0\,|\,u_{\gamma}\neq0\}$ is an infinite set, then by (2.1), $D(L_{0,0})=D_0(L_{0,0})-\sum_{\gamma\neq0}\gamma u_{\gamma}$ is an infinite sum which is not an element of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$, a contradiction. □

Next we are going to characterize $(\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s))_0$. To do this, let us first introduce some notations. For any map f from a set A to another set B, we write the image of $a\in A$ under f as f_a rather than $f(a)$ in what follows. Denote $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])$ the set of group homomorphisms $\phi:T\to\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]$, which carries the structure of a vector space by defining $(c\phi)(\gamma)=c\phi(\gamma)$ for any given $\phi\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])$ and any $c\in\mathbb{F}$, $\gamma\in T$. Denote by $\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\frac{d}{dt}$ the derivation algebra of $\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]$ and

$$\mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)=\big\{g:\Gamma\to\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\mid(\beta-\alpha)g_{\alpha+\beta}=\beta g_{\beta}-\alpha g_{\alpha},\quad\forall\ \alpha,\beta\in\Gamma\big\}.$$

For any $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])$, $g \in \mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)$, $b \in \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\frac{d}{dt}$, define respectively four linear maps D_ϕ, D_g, D_b and D^ρ of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ as follows:

$$D_\phi(X_{\mu,i}) = \phi(\mu)X_{\mu,i}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$D_g(L_{\alpha,i}) = g_\alpha M_{\alpha,i}, \quad D_g(M_{\alpha,i}) = D_g(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

$$D_b(L_{\alpha,i}) = 0, \quad D_b(M_{\alpha,i}) = bM_{\alpha,i}, \quad D_b(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = \frac{1}{2}bY_{\alpha+s,i}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$D^\rho(X_{\mu,i}) = X_\mu \rho(t^i), \quad \forall\ X \in \{L, M, Y\}, \mu \in T, \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.6}$$

It is easy to see that these four operators defined above are all homogeneous derivations of degree zero. We use the same notations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])} := \{D_\phi \mid \phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])\},\\
&\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)} := \mathrm{span}\{D_g \mid g \in \mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)\},\\
&\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]} := \{D_b \mid b \in \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\frac{d}{dt}} := \{D^\rho \mid \rho \in \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\frac{d}{dt}\}
\end{aligned}$$

to denote respectively the corresponding subspaces of $\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$.

Take any $D \in (\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s))_0$. For any $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, assume that

$$D(L_{\alpha,i}) = f_{\alpha,i}L_{\alpha,i} + g_{\alpha,i}M_{\alpha,i}, \quad \text{where } f_{\alpha,i}, g_{\alpha,i} \in \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]. \tag{2.7}$$

Applying D to the first relation in (1.1) and using (2.7) give

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\beta-\alpha)f_{\alpha,i}L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} - \alpha g_{\alpha,i}M_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} + (\beta-\alpha)f_{\beta,j}L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} + \beta g_{\beta,j}M_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}\\
&= (\beta-\alpha)f_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} + (\beta-\alpha)g_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}M_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}$ and $M_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}$, one has

$$f_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} = f_{\alpha,i} + f_{\beta,j} \ \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta, \tag{2.8}$$

$$(\beta-\alpha)g_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} = \beta g_{\beta,j} - \alpha g_{\alpha,i}, \quad \forall\ \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.9}$$

It is obvious that $f_{0,0} = 0$. Furthermore, from (2.8) one can, in fact, see that

$$f_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} = f_{\alpha,i} + f_{\beta,j}, \quad \forall\ \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{2.10}$$

Setting $\alpha = 0$ and $j = 0$ in (2.9), one has $g_{\beta,i} = g_{\beta,0}$ whenever $\beta \neq 0$. From this and by setting $\alpha = -\beta \neq 0$ in (2.9), we have $g_{0,i+j} = g_{0,0}$. Thus, $g_{\beta,i} = g_{\beta,0}$ for any $\beta \in \Gamma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is denoted by g_β for convenience. Whence (2.9) turns out to be $(\beta-\alpha)g_{\alpha+\beta} = \beta g_\beta - \alpha g_\alpha$, that is, $g \in \mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)$.

Put $\rho = tf_{0,1}\frac{d}{dt}$. Replacing D by $D - D^\rho$ entails us to assume that $f_{0,1} = 0$, which in turn, by [23, Lemma 2.4], gives rise to $f_{\beta,i} = f_{\beta,0}$ for all $\beta \in \Gamma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. So in what follows we can simply write $f_{\beta,i}$ as f_β. In this case, (2.10) becomes

$$f_{\alpha+\beta} = f_\alpha + f_\beta, \quad \forall\ \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{2.11}$$

In particular, $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}])$. Whence we arrive at the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let D be as above. Then there exist $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma,\ \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}])$ and $g \in \mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)$ such that*

$$D(L_{\alpha,i}) = f_\alpha L_{\alpha,i} + g_\alpha M_{\alpha,i} \quad \textit{for } \alpha \in \Gamma \textit{ and } i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

While for the expressions of $D(M_{\alpha,i})$ and $D(Y_{\alpha+s,i})$, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *Let D and f as in Lemma 2.4. Then there exists $b \in \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}]$ such that*

$$D(M_{\alpha,i}) = (f_\alpha + b)M_{\alpha,i}, \quad D(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = \frac{1}{2}(f_{2(\alpha+s)} + b)Y_{\alpha+s,i} \quad \textit{for } \alpha \in \Gamma \textit{ and } i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. For any $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, assume

$$D(M_{\alpha,i}) = \psi_{\alpha,i} L_{\alpha,i} + \varphi_{\alpha,i} M_{\alpha,i}, \quad \text{where } \psi_{\alpha,i}, \varphi_{\alpha,i} \in \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}]. \tag{2.12}$$

Applying D to $[L_{-\alpha,-i}, M_{\alpha,i}] = \alpha M_{0,0}$ and using (2.12), we obtain

$$\alpha(f_{-\alpha} + \varphi_{\alpha,i} - \varphi_{0,0})M_{0,0} + \alpha(2\psi_{\alpha,i} - \psi_{0,0})L_{0,0} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$f_{-\alpha} + \varphi_{\alpha,i} = \varphi_{0,0} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\alpha,i} = \frac{1}{2}\psi_{0,0}, \quad \forall\ 0 \neq \alpha \in \Gamma. \tag{2.13}$$

Since $M_{0,0}$ lies in the center of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$, so does $D(M_{0,0})$. This forces $\psi_{0,0} = 0$ and thereby $\psi_{\alpha,i} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from this fact, (2.11) and (2.13) that (2.12) can be simplified as $D(M_{\alpha,i}) = (f_\alpha + b)M_{\alpha,i}$, where $b = \varphi_{0,0}$. This is the first desired relation.

For arbitrary $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we assume that

$$D(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = h_{\alpha+s,i} Y_{\alpha+s,i} \quad \text{for some } h_{\alpha+s,i} \in \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}]. \tag{2.14}$$

Applying D to (1.4) and by (2.14), one has

$$(\beta - \alpha)(h_{\alpha+s,i} + h_{\beta+s,j})M_{\alpha+\beta+2s,i+j} = (\beta - \alpha)(f_{\alpha+\beta+2s} + b)M_{\alpha+\beta+2s,i+j}.$$

Hence, $h_{\alpha+s,i} + h_{\beta+s,j} = f_{\alpha+\beta+2s} + b$ for any $\alpha \neq \beta \in \Gamma$. In fact, the condition $\alpha \neq \beta$ can be removed, namely,

$$h_{\alpha+s,i} + h_{\beta+s,j} = f_{\alpha+\beta+2s} + b, \quad \forall\ \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{2.15}$$

From this we see that $h_{\alpha+s,j}$ is independent of the second subindex j, which is written as $h_{\alpha+s}$ for short. Moreover, setting $\beta = \alpha$ in (2.15) we have $h_{\alpha+s} = \frac{1}{2}(f_{2(\alpha+s)} + b)$, this is the second desired relation. The proof is complete. ☐

For any $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])$ (the set of group homomorphisms from Γ to $\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]$), we extend it to a map from T to $\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]$ by setting $f_\gamma = \frac{1}{2}f_{2\gamma}$ if $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$. Then it is easy to verify the resulting new map f is a group homomorphism, i.e., $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])$.

Theorem 2.6. *Set*

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])} = \{D_\phi \mid \exists f(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}] \text{ such that } \phi(\gamma) = \gamma f(t), \forall \gamma \in T\}.$$

Then the derivation space of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ has the following decomposition

$$\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s) = \mathrm{ad}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s) + \Big(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\frac{d}{dt}}\Big)$$

and the first cohomology group $H^1(\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s), \mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s))$ is linearly isomorphic to

$$\big(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])}/\mathcal{D}'_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])}\big) \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\frac{d}{dt}}.$$

Proof. Let us first characterize the derivation algebra $\big(\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\big)_0$.

Claim 1. $\big(\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\big)_0 = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\frac{d}{dt}}$.

To prove this, take any $D \in \big(\mathrm{Der}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\big)_0$. It follows from Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 and the remarks before Lemma 2.4 and this theorem that there exist some $\rho \in \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\frac{d}{dt}$, $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])$, $g \in \mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]$ such that the following relations hold:

$$(D - D^\rho)(L_{\alpha,i}) = f_\alpha L_{\alpha,i} + g_\alpha M_{\alpha,i}, \quad (D - D^\rho)(M_{\alpha,i}) = (f_\alpha + b)M_{\alpha,i},$$
$$(D - D^\rho)(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = \frac{1}{2}(f_{2(\alpha+s)} + b)Y_{\alpha+s,i}, \quad \forall\, \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from the definition of D_f, D_g and D_b that

$$(D_f + D_g + D_b)(L_{\alpha,i}) = f_\alpha L_{\alpha,i} + g_\alpha M_{\alpha,i}, \quad (D_f + D_g + D_b)(M_{\alpha,i}) = (f_\alpha + b)M_{\alpha,i},$$
$$(D_f + D_g + D_b)(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = \frac{1}{2}(f_{2(\alpha+s)} + b)Y_{\alpha+s,i}, \quad \forall\, \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus, $D = D^\rho + D_f + D_g + D_b$.

Claim 2. *The sum $D_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\frac{d}{dt}}$ is direct.*

To prove the claim, let $\mathcal{D} = D_\phi + \sum_{j\in J} c_j D_{g_j} + D_b + D^\rho$, where J is a finite index set, $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])$, $g_j \in \mathfrak{g}(\Gamma), b \in \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}], \rho \in \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\frac{d}{dt}$ and $c_j \in \mathbb{F}$. Then the claim is equivalent to showing that $\mathcal{D} = 0$ implies

$$D_\phi = \sum_{j\in J} c_j D_{g_j} = D_b = D^\rho = 0.$$

But the latter follows immediately by applying D to the basis elements $L_{\alpha,i}$, $M_{\alpha,i}$ and $Y_{\alpha+s,i}$ of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ for arbitrary $\alpha\in\Gamma$ and $i\in\mathbb{Z}$. So Claim 2 holds.

Now the first statement follows the Claims 1, 2 and Lemma 2.3; while for the second statement it suffices to show the following claim.

Claim 3. *The intersection* $\mathrm{ad}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\cap\Big(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])}\oplus\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)}\oplus\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]}\oplus\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\frac{d}{dt}}\Big)$ *is equal to* $\mathrm{ad}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\cap\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])}=\mathcal{D}'_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])}$.

To prove the claim, let $D\in\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\ \mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}])}\oplus\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)}\oplus\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]}\oplus\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\frac{d}{dt}}$ have the form $D_\phi+\sum_{j\in J}c_jD_{g_j}+D_b+D^\rho$ as in Claim 2. If $D\in\mathrm{ad}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$, then we may assume $D=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}a_j\,\mathrm{ad}_{L_{0,j}}$for which only finitely many of a_i are nonzero, since D is of degree zero and $\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{F}M_{0,i}$ lies in the center of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$. Thus

$$D_\phi+\sum_{j\in J}c_jD_{g_j}+D_b+D^\rho=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}a_j\,\mathrm{ad}_{L_{0,j}}. \tag{2.16}$$

Applying both sides of (2.16) to $L_{\alpha,i}$, we have

$$\phi(\alpha)L_\alpha t^i+\sum_{j\in J}c_jg_j(\alpha)M_\alpha t^i+L_\alpha\rho(t^i)=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\alpha a_jL_\alpha t^{i+j},$$

which forces $\sum_{j\in J}c_jD_{g_j}=0$ and $\phi(\alpha)+t^{-i}\rho(t^i)=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\alpha a_jt^j$. The latter implies $\rho=0$ and thereby $\phi(\alpha)=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\alpha a_jt^j$ for $\alpha\in\Gamma$. It follows from applying both sides of (2.16) to $M_{\alpha,i}$ and $Y_{\alpha+s,i}$ that we respectively obtain

$$\phi(\alpha)+b=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\alpha a_jt^j,\qquad\phi(\alpha+s)+\frac{1}{2}b=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}(\alpha+s)a_jt^j,\qquad\forall\ \alpha\in\Gamma,i\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Combining these three relations gives $b=0$ and $\phi(\gamma)=\gamma f(t)$ for any $\gamma\in T$, where $f(t)=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}a_jt^j\in\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]$. To sum up, we have obtained $D=D_\phi=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}a_j\mathrm{ad}_{L_{0,j}}$ with $\phi(\gamma)=\gamma f(t)$ for any $\gamma\in T$. This is the Claim 3. □

3 Automorphism group of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$

In this section, we will determine the automorphism group of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$, which is denoted by $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$. Denote

$$\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)=\mathop{\oplus}_{\alpha\in\Gamma}L_\alpha\otimes\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}],\ \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)=\mathop{\oplus}_{\alpha\in\Gamma}M_\alpha\otimes\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}],\ \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma,s)=\mathop{\oplus}_{\alpha\in\Gamma}Y_{\alpha+s}\otimes\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}].$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ is the centerless generalized loop Virasoro algebra, and $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$. It is also worthwhile to point out that $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma,s):=\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)\oplus\mathcal{Y}(\Gamma,s)$ is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ whose center is exactly $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$.

Denote $\mathbb{F}^* = \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$. Set $A = \{a \in \mathbb{F}^* \mid a\Gamma = \Gamma, aT = T\}$. Then A is a multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{F}^*$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Γ' be another proper additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}$ and $s' \notin \Gamma'$ with $2s' \in \Gamma'$. Set $T' = \Gamma' \cup (\Gamma' + s')$. Then $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s) \cong \mathscr{W}(\Gamma', s')$ if and only if there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that $a\Gamma' = \Gamma$ and $a\Gamma'_1 = \Gamma_1$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma : \mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s) \to \mathscr{W}(T')$ be an isomorphism of Lie algebras. Then $\sigma\big(\mathcal{I}(\Gamma, s)\big) = \mathcal{I}(\Gamma', s')$, and σ induces an isomorphism $\bar{\sigma}$ of the generalized loop Witt algebras:

$$\overline{\sigma} : \mathcal{L}(\Gamma) = \mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)/\mathcal{I}(\Gamma, s) \to \mathscr{W}(T')/\mathcal{I}(\Gamma', s') = \mathcal{L}(\Gamma').$$

It follows from the arguments in [23, Section 3] that there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that $a\Gamma' = \Gamma$, and $\overline{\sigma}(L_{\alpha,i}) = L_{\frac{\alpha}{a}} f_{\alpha,i}(t)$ for some $f_{\alpha,i}(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}]$ such that $f_{0,0}(t) = a$.

Claim 1. $a\Gamma' = \Gamma$.

To prove this, for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can assume that

$$\sigma(L_{\alpha,i}) = L_{\frac{\alpha}{a}} f_{\alpha,i}(t) + m_{\alpha,i} + y_{\alpha,i} \quad \text{for some } m_{\alpha,i} \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma'), y_{\alpha,i} \in \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma', s').$$

Note that σ maps the center of $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma, s)$ onto the center of $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma', s')$, namely, $\sigma\big(\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)\big) = \mathcal{M}(\Gamma')$. It follows from this fact and by applying σ to $[L_{0,0}, M_{\alpha,i}] = \alpha M_{\alpha,i}$, we have

$$[L_{0,0}, \sigma(M_{\alpha,i})] = \frac{\alpha}{a}\sigma(M_{\alpha,i}) \text{ for any } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which implies $\sigma(M_{\alpha,i}) \in \mathscr{W}(T')_{\frac{\alpha}{a}}$. In particular, $\frac{\alpha}{a} \in \Gamma'$ and therefore $\Gamma \subseteq a\Gamma'$. Similarly, one has $a\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$. Hence, $a\Gamma' = \Gamma$, proving Claim 1.

Claim 2. $a\Gamma'_1 = \Gamma_1$.

To prove this, for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $\sigma(\mathcal{Y}(\Gamma, s)) = \mathcal{M}(\Gamma') \oplus \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma', s')$, we may write

$$\sigma(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = \sigma(Y_{\alpha+s,i})_{\mathcal{M}} + \sigma(Y_{\alpha+s,i})_{\mathcal{Y}}, \tag{3.1}$$

for some $\sigma(Y_{\alpha+s,i})_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma')$, $\sigma(Y_{\alpha+s,i})_{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma', s')$. Applying σ to $[L_{0,0}, Y_{\alpha+s,i}] = (\alpha + s)Y_{\alpha+s,i}$, one has

$$\big[aL_{0,0} + m_{0,0} + y_{0,0}, \sigma(Y_{\alpha+s,i})\big] = (\alpha + s)\sigma(Y_{\alpha+s,i}), \quad \forall\ \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then it follows from relations (1.2)–(1.4) and invoking (3.1) that $\big[L_{0,0}, \sigma\big(Y_{\alpha+s,i}\big)_{\mathcal{Y}}\big] = \frac{\alpha+s}{a}\sigma\big(Y_{\alpha+s,i}\big)_{\mathcal{Y}}$, which forces $\frac{\alpha+s}{a} \in \Gamma'_1$ and therefore $\Gamma_1 \subset a\Gamma'_1$. Then $a\Gamma'_1 = \Gamma_1$, since the other inclusion $a\Gamma'_1 \subset \Gamma_1$ can be obtained similarly. This proves Claim 2.

The "only if" part follows directly from Claims 1 and 2, while the "if" part is clear. □

For any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut} \mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$, let us first take a careful look at what the images of the basis elements of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$ under the σ. It follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1 for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we may assume that

$$\sigma(L_{\alpha,i}) = L_{\frac{\alpha}{a}} f_{\alpha,i} + m^L_{\alpha,i} + y^L_{\alpha,i}, \quad \sigma(M_{\alpha,i}) = M_{\frac{\alpha}{a}} g_{\alpha,i}, \quad \sigma(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = Y_{\frac{\alpha+s}{a}} g_{\alpha+s,i} + m^Y_{\alpha,i}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $m^L_{\alpha,i}, m^Y_{\alpha,i} \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma), y^L_{\alpha,i} \in \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma, s)$ and $f_{\alpha,i}, g_{\alpha,i}, g_{\alpha+s,i} \in \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}]$ with $f_{0,0} = a \in \mathbb{F}^*$. By (3.2) and applying σ to the first relation in (1.1), (1.2) and (1.4) respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&a(\beta - \alpha) f_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} = (\beta - \alpha) f_{\alpha,i} f_{\beta,j},\\
&a(\beta + s - \frac{\alpha}{2}) g_{\alpha+\beta+s,i+j} = (\beta + s - \frac{\alpha}{2}) f_{\alpha,i} g_{\beta+s,j},\\
&a(\beta - \alpha) g_{\alpha+\beta+2s,i+j} = (\beta - \alpha) g_{\alpha+s,i} g_{\beta+s,j}, \quad \forall\, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by using the same arguments as in the proof of [23, Lemma 2.4], we have

$$a f_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} = f_{\alpha,i} f_{\beta,j}, \quad a g_{\alpha+\beta+s,i+j} = f_{\alpha,i} g_{\beta+s,j}, \quad a g_{\alpha+\beta+2s,i+j} = g_{\alpha+s,i} g_{\beta+s,j} \tag{3.3}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $\mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}]^* = \{a t^i \,|\, 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F}, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ the set of multiplicative invertible elements of $\mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}]$, which is a multiplicative group. It follows from the first and third relations of (3.3) and by noticing both $f_{0,0}$ and $g_{0,0}$ are nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}$ that both $\frac{f_{\alpha,i}}{a}$ and $\frac{g_{\alpha+s,i}}{a}$ lie in $\mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}]^*$. Hence, by the third formula in (3.3) again, $g_{\alpha,i} \in \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}]^*$, for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. These entail us to write

$$f_{\alpha,i} = a\mu_1(\alpha, i) t^{\varepsilon_1(\alpha,i)} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{\gamma,i} = a\mu'(\gamma, i) t^{\varepsilon'(\gamma,i)}, \quad \forall\, \alpha \in \Gamma, \gamma \in T, i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mu_1(\alpha, i), \mu'(\gamma, i) \in \mathbb{F}^*$, $\varepsilon_1(\alpha, i), \varepsilon'(\gamma, i) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Applying σ to the second relation in (1.1), we have $a\beta g_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} = \beta f_{\alpha,i} g_{\beta,j}$. From this and the second and third relations in (3.3), one can deduce $a g_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} = f_{\alpha,i} g_{\beta,j}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, which implies

$$\mu'(\alpha, i) = c\mu_1(\alpha, i) \ (c = a^{-1} g_{0,0}) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon'(\alpha, i) = \varepsilon_1(\alpha, i), \quad \forall\, \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

by taking $\beta = j = 0$. Set

$$\mu(x, i) = \begin{cases} \mu_1(x, i), & \text{if } x \in \Gamma, \\ c^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu'(x, i), & \text{if } x \in \Gamma_1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(x, i) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_1(x, i), & \text{if } x \in \Gamma, \\ \varepsilon'(x, i), & \text{if } x \in \Gamma_1. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Then for any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in T$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mu(\gamma_1, i)\mu(\gamma_2, j) = \mu(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2, i + j) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(\gamma_1, i) + \varepsilon(\gamma_2, j) = \varepsilon(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2, i + j). \tag{3.5}$$

Whence the formulas in (3.2) can be respectively rewritten as

$$\sigma(L_{\alpha,i}) = a\mu(\alpha,i)L_{\frac{\alpha}{a}}t^{\varepsilon(\alpha,i)} + m^L_{\alpha,i} + y^L_{\alpha,i}, \qquad \sigma(M_{\alpha,i}) = ac\mu(\alpha,i)M_{\frac{\alpha}{a}}t^{\varepsilon(\alpha,i)}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\sigma(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = ac^{\frac{1}{2}}\mu(\alpha+s,i)Y_{\frac{\alpha+s}{a}}t^{\varepsilon(\alpha+s,i)} + m^Y_{\alpha,i}. \tag{3.7}$$

Motivated by the formulas (3.6) and (3.7) we are next going to define six kinds of automorphisms of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$. For any $a \in A$, define an automorphism of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ as follows:

$$\sigma_a(L_{\alpha,i}) = aL_{\frac{\alpha}{a},i}, \quad \sigma_a(M_{\alpha,i}) = aM_{\frac{\alpha}{a},i}, \quad \sigma_a(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = aY_{\frac{\alpha+s}{a},i}, \quad \forall\ \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Given any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\mathbb{Z})$, the set of group homomorphisms from T to $\mathbb{Z}$, we can define an automorphism of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ as follows:

$$\varphi(L_{\alpha,i}) = L_{\alpha,i+\varphi(\alpha)}, \quad \varphi(M_{\alpha,i}) = M_{\alpha,i+\varphi(\alpha)}, \quad \varphi(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = Y_{\alpha+s,i+\varphi(\alpha+s)}, \quad \forall\ \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For any $\chi \in \chi(T)$, the set of all group homomorphisms from T to $\mathbb{F}^*$, and $c \in \mathbb{F}^*$, define an automorphism σ^χ_c of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ as follows:

$$\sigma^\chi_c(L_{\alpha,i}) = \chi(\alpha)L_{\alpha,i},\ \sigma^\chi_c(M_{\alpha,i}) = c\chi(\alpha)M_{\alpha,i},\ \sigma^\chi_c(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = c^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi(\alpha+s)Y_{\alpha+s,i},\ \forall\ \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then under the multiplication given by $\sigma^{\chi_1}_{c_1}\sigma^{\chi_2}_{c_2} = \sigma^{\chi_1\chi_2}_{c_1c_2}$ for all $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \chi(T)$, the set $\{\sigma^\chi_c \mid \chi \in \chi(T), c \in \mathbb{F}^*\}$ forms a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$, which is isomorphic to the product group $\chi(T) \times \mathbb{F}^*$ of groups $\chi(T)$ and $\mathbb{F}^*$.

For any $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbb{Z} = \{1,-1\}$, it is easy to see the following linear map given by

$$\phi(L_{\alpha,i}) = L_{\alpha,\phi(i)}, \quad \phi(M_{\alpha,i}) = M_{\alpha,\phi(i)}, \quad \phi(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = Y_{\alpha+s,\phi(i)}, \quad \forall\ \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

is an automorphism of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$.

Given an element $b \in \mathbb{F}^*$, define a linear map $\tau_b \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ as follows:

$$\tau_b(L_{\alpha,i}) = b^iL_{\alpha,i}, \quad \tau_b(M_{\alpha,i}) = b^iM_{\alpha,i}, \quad \tau_b(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = b^iY_{\alpha+s,i}, \quad \forall\ \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then τ_b is an automorphism of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$.

Note from [23] that the set $A \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\mathbb{Z}) \times \chi(T) \times \mathbb{F}^* \times \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{F}^\times$ becomes a group with $(1,0,0,1,1,1)$ as its identity element, whose multiplication is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&(a_1,\varphi_1,\chi_1,c_1,\phi_1,b_1)\cdot(a_2,\varphi_2,\chi_2,c_2,\phi_2,b_2)\\ &= (a_1a_2, \varphi_1\iota_{a_2^{-1}} + \phi_1\varphi_2, b_1^{\varphi_2} + \chi_2 + \chi_1\iota_{a_2^{-1}}, c_1c_2, \phi_1\phi_2, b_1^{\phi_2}b_2),\end{aligned}$$

where ι_a (for $a \in A$) is an automorphism of T such that $\iota_a(\gamma) = a\gamma$ for $\gamma \in T$, and for any $b \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\mathbb{Z})$, $(b^\varphi)(\gamma) = b^{\varphi(\gamma)}$ for $\gamma \in T$. So in what follows we can identify $A \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\mathbb{Z}) \times \chi(T) \times \mathbb{F}^* \times \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{F}^\times$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$.

Denote

$$\mathcal{E} := \left\{ \underline{e} = (e^k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \text{all but finitely many } e^k \text{ are zero, where } e^k : \Gamma\times\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{F} \text{ such} \\ \text{that } (\beta-\alpha)e^k_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} = \beta e^{k-i}_{\beta,j} - \alpha e^{k-j}_{\alpha,i},\ \forall\,\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma, i,j\in\mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then one can verify that the linear map $\psi_{\underline{e}} : \mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\to\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ defined by

$$L_{\alpha,i}\to L_{\alpha,i}+\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} e^j_{\alpha,i}M_{\alpha,j},\quad M_{\alpha,i}\to M_{\alpha,i},\quad Y_{\alpha+s,i}\to Y_{\alpha+s,i} \tag{3.8}$$

is an automorphism of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$. Note that $\mathcal{E}$ carries the semigroup structure under the natural additive operation $\underline{e}+\underline{c}=(e^k+c^k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for any $\underline{e}=(e^k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}, \underline{c}=(c^k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\mathcal{E}$. Thus, $\Psi=\{\psi_{\underline{e}}\mid \underline{e}\in\mathcal{E}\}$ forms a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$, since $\psi_{\underline{e}}\psi_{\underline{c}}=\psi_{\underline{e}+\underline{c}}$ for any $\underline{e},\underline{c}\in\mathcal{E}$.

Let $\mathrm{Inn}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ generated by all *inner automorphisms* $\exp(\mathrm{ad}x)$ for all $x\in\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ such that each $\mathrm{ad}x$ is locally nilpotent. It is not hard to see that all automorphisms defined above are not inner. Now we are ready to characterize the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$.

Theorem 3.2. *We have the following isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\simeq((A\times\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\mathbb{Z})\times\chi(T)\times\mathbb{F}^*\times\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{F}^{\times})\ltimes\Psi)\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s).$$

Proof. Let $\sigma\in\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$. Then it follows from (3.5) that the μ and ε occur in (3.6) and (3.7) can be written as

$$\mu(\gamma,i)=b^i\chi(\gamma)\quad\text{and}\quad\varepsilon(\gamma,i)=\phi(i)+\varphi(\gamma)$$

for some $b\in\mathbb{F}^*$, $\chi\in\chi(T)$, $\phi\in\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\mathbb{Z})$. This entails us to rewrite (3.6) and (3.7) respectively as follows:

$$\sigma(L_{\alpha,i})=a\chi(\alpha)b^iL_{\frac{\alpha}{a},\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)}+m^L_{\alpha,i}+y^L_{\alpha,i}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\sigma(M_{\alpha,i})=ac\chi(\alpha)b^iM_{\frac{\alpha}{a},\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\sigma(Y_{\alpha+s,i})=ac^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi(\alpha+s)b^iY_{\frac{\alpha+s}{a},\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha+s)}+m^Y_{\alpha,i}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $a\in A$, $c\in\mathbb{F}^*$, $m^L_{\alpha,i},m^Y_{\alpha,i}\in\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ and $y^L_{\alpha,i}\in\mathcal{Y}(\Gamma,s)$. Set

$$\tau=(\tau_b)^{-1}\phi^{-1}(\sigma^{\chi}_c)^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(\sigma_a)^{-1}\sigma. \tag{3.12}$$

Then it follows from (3.9)–(3.11) and the definitions of $\tau_b,\phi,\sigma^{\chi}_c,\varphi$ and σ_a that

$$\tau(L_{\alpha,i})=L_{\alpha,i}+\mathfrak{m}^L_{\alpha,i}+\mathfrak{y}^L_{\alpha,i},\qquad\tau(M_{\alpha,i})=M_{\alpha,i},\qquad\tau(Y_{\alpha+s,i})=Y_{\alpha+s,i}+\mathfrak{m}^Y_{\alpha,i}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha,i}^L, \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha,i}^Y \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathfrak{y}_{\alpha,i}^L \in \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma, s)$.

Assume

$$\tau(L_{0,0}) = L_{0,0} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma, j\in\mathbb{Z}} (a_{\alpha,j} M_{\alpha,j} + b_{\alpha,j} Y_{\alpha+s,j}), \tag{3.14}$$

$$\tau(Y_{s,i}) = Y_{s,i} + \sum_{\beta\in\Gamma, k\in\mathbb{Z}} c_{\beta,k}^i M_{\beta,k}, \tag{3.15}$$

for which only finitely many of $a_{\alpha,j}, b_{\alpha,j}$ and $c_{\beta,k}^i$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are nonzero. Applying τ to $[L_{0,0}, Y_{s,i}] = sY_{s,i}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big[L_{0,0} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma, j\in\mathbb{Z}} (a_{\alpha,j} M_{\alpha,j} + b_{\alpha,j} Y_{\alpha+s,j}), Y_{s,i} + \sum_{\beta\in\Gamma, k\in\mathbb{Z}} c_{\beta,k}^i M_{\beta,k}\Big] \\ &= sY_{s,i} + \sum_{\beta\in\Gamma, k\in\mathbb{Z}} \beta c_{\beta,k}^i M_{\beta,k} - \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma, j\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha b_{\alpha,j} M_{\alpha+2s, j+i} \\ &= sY_{s,i} + s \sum_{\beta\in\Gamma, k\in\mathbb{Z}} c_{\beta,k}^i M_{\beta,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude $\sum_{\beta\in\Gamma,k\in\mathbb{Z}}(\beta - s)c_{\beta,k}^i M_{\beta,k} = \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma,j\in\mathbb{Z}}\alpha b_{\alpha,j} M_{\alpha+2s,j+i}$, which is equivalent to saying

$$c_{\alpha+2s,j+i}^i = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+s} b_{\alpha,j}, \quad \forall\ \alpha \in \Gamma, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then (3.15) can be written as

$$\tau(Y_{s,i}) = Y_{s,i} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma, j\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+s} b_{\alpha,j} M_{\alpha+2s, j+i}. \tag{3.16}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tau' \ =\ & \exp\operatorname{ad}\Big(\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta\in\Gamma, \alpha+\beta+2s\neq 0, i,j\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{-b_{\alpha,i} b_{\beta,j} (\beta-\alpha)}{2(\alpha+s)(\alpha+\beta+2s)} M_{\alpha+\beta+2s, i+j}\Big) \\ & \times \exp\operatorname{ad}\Big(\sum_{0\neq\alpha\in\Gamma, j\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{-a_{\alpha,j}}{\alpha} M_{\alpha,j}\Big) \exp\operatorname{ad}\Big(-\sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma, j\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{b_{\alpha,j}}{\alpha+s} Y_{\alpha+s,j}\Big) \in \operatorname{Inn} \mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s). \end{aligned}$$

Claim 3. *We have $\tau'(L_{\alpha,i}) = \tau(L_{\alpha,i}) + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{\alpha,i}^j M_{\alpha,j}$, $\tau'(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = \tau(Y_{\alpha+s,i})$ and $\tau'(M_{\alpha,i}) = M_{\alpha,i} = \tau(M_{\alpha,i})$ for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $e_{\alpha,i}^j \in \mathbb{F}$ for which only finitely many are nonzero for each fixed α.*

To prove the claim, it follows immediately from the construction of τ' one can easily verify that

$$\tau'(L_{0,0}) = \tau(L_{0,0}) - \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} a'_{0,j} M_{0,j},\ \tau'(Y_{s,i}) = \tau(Y_{s,i}),\ \tau'(M_{\alpha,i}) = M_{\alpha,i},\ \forall\ \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.17}$$

where $a'_{0,j} = a_{0,j} - \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma,k\in\mathbb{Z}} b_{\alpha,k} b_{-\alpha-2s,j-k}$. Applying τ' to $[L_{0,0}, L_{\alpha,i}] = \alpha L_{\alpha,i}$ for arbitrary $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha\tau'(L_{\alpha,i}) &= \Big[\tau'(L_{0,0}), \tau'(L_{\alpha,i})\Big] = \Big[\tau(L_{0,0}), \tau'(L_{\alpha,i})\Big] \\
&= \Big[\tau(L_{0,0}), \tau(L_{\alpha,i}) + \tau'(L_{\alpha,i}) - \tau(L_{\alpha,i})\Big] \\
&= \alpha\tau(L_{\alpha,i}) + \Big[\tau(L_{0,0}), \tau'(L_{\alpha,i}) - \tau(L_{\alpha,i})\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we use the fact that $M_{0,j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ lie in the center of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$. Thus

$$\big[\tau(L_{0,0}), \tau'(L_{\alpha,i}) - \tau(L_{\alpha,i})\big] = \alpha\big(\tau'(L_{\alpha,i}) - \tau(L_{\alpha,i})\big). \tag{3.18}$$

Note that $[\tau'(L_{\alpha,i}), M_{\beta,j}] = \beta M_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}$, which is due to the fact τ' preserves each basis element $M_{\beta,j}$. Thus, $\tau'(L_{\alpha,i}) - L_{\alpha,i} \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \oplus \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma, s)$. This and (3.13) allow us to assume that

$$\tau'(L_{\alpha,i}) - \tau(L_{\alpha,i}) = \sum_{\beta\in\Gamma,j\in\mathbb{Z}} (e^{\beta,j}_{\alpha,i} M_{\beta,j} + d^{\beta,j}_{\alpha,i} Y_{\beta+s,j}) \tag{3.19}$$

for some $e^{\beta,j}_{\alpha,i}, d^{\beta,j}_{\alpha,i} \in \mathbb{F}$ with $e^{0,j}_{0,0} = -a'_{0,j}$ and $d^{\beta,j}_{0,0} = 0$. Inserting (3.14) and (3.19) into (3.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha\Big(\sum_{\beta\in\Gamma,j\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\beta,j}_{\alpha,i} M_{\beta,j} + \sum_{\beta\in\Gamma,j\in\mathbb{Z}} d^{\beta,j}_{\alpha,i} Y_{\beta+s,j}\Big) \\
&= \Big[L_{0,0} + \sum_{\beta\in\Gamma,j\in\mathbb{Z}} (a_{\beta,j} M_{\beta,j} + b_{\beta,j} Y_{\beta+s,j}), \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma,j\in\mathbb{Z}} (e^{\gamma,j}_{\alpha,i} M_{\gamma,j} + d^{\gamma,j}_{\alpha,i} Y_{\gamma+s,j})\Big] \\
&= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma,j\in\mathbb{Z}} \Big(\gamma e^{\gamma,j}_{\alpha,i} M_{\gamma,j} + (\gamma+s) d^{\gamma,j}_{\alpha,i} Y_{\gamma+s,j}\Big) + \sum_{\beta\neq\gamma\in\Gamma,j,k\in\mathbb{Z}} (\gamma-\beta) b_{\beta,k} d^{\gamma,j}_{\alpha,i} M_{\beta+\gamma+2s,j+k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients of $Y_{\beta+s,j}$ gives $(\beta+s)d^{\beta,j}_{\alpha,i} = \alpha d^{\beta,j}_{\alpha,i}$. Hence, $d^{\beta,j}_{\alpha,i} = 0$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ since $\beta + s - \alpha \neq 0$. While comparing coefficients of $M_{\beta,j}$, we have $e^{\beta,j}_{\alpha,i} = 0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta \in \Gamma, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, (3.19) can be simply written as

$$\tau'(L_{\alpha,i}) = \tau(L_{\alpha,i}) + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{j}_{\alpha,i} M_{\alpha,j} \quad \text{with } e^{j}_{\alpha,i} = e^{\alpha,j}_{\alpha,i}. \tag{3.20}$$

This is the first relation as promised in Claim 3.

It follows from (3.16), (3.17) and (3.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau'(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) &= (s - \frac{\alpha}{2})^{-1} \tau'[L_{\alpha,0}, Y_{s,i}] \\
&= (s - \frac{\alpha}{2})^{-1} \Big[\tau(L_{\alpha,0}) + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{j}_{\alpha,0} M_{\alpha,j}, \tau(Y_{s,i})\Big] = \tau(Y_{\alpha+s,i}), \quad \forall\ 2s \neq \alpha \in \Gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this result and (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau'(Y_{3s,i}) &= (-3s)^{-1}\tau'[L_{4s,0},Y_{-s,i}]\\ &= (-3s)^{-1}\Big[\tau(L_{4s,0})+\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}e^{j}_{4s,0}M_{4s,j},\tau(Y_{-s,i})\Big]=\tau(Y_{3s,i}).\end{aligned}$$

So in either case we have proved the fact that $\tau'(Y_{\alpha+s,i})=\tau(Y_{\alpha+s,i})$ for $\alpha\in\Gamma, i\in\mathbb{Z}$. Whence we have established Claim 3.

In fact, Claim 3 implies $\tau=\tau'\psi_{\underline{e}}^{-1}\in\operatorname{Inn}\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\cdot\Psi$, where $\psi_{\underline{e}}\in\operatorname{Aut}\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ as defined in (3.8). This together with (3.12) gives rise to

$$\sigma=\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi\tau_b\tau'\psi_{\underline{e}}^{-1}\in(A\times\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\mathbb{Z})\times(\chi(T)\times\mathbb{F}^{*})\times\operatorname{Aut}\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{F}^{\times})\cdot\operatorname{Inn}\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\cdot\Psi.$$

Since $\operatorname{Inn}\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$, thus $\operatorname{Inn}\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\cdot\Psi=\Psi\cdot\operatorname{Inn}\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$.

In order to finish the whole proof, it remains to show the following is a simiproduct:

$$(A\times\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\mathbb{Z})\times\chi(T)\times\mathbb{F}^{*}\times\operatorname{Aut}\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{F}^{\times})\ltimes\Psi,$$

which is equivalent to proving the following claim.

Claim 4. *For any* $\sigma_a\in A,\varphi\in\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T,\mathbb{Z}),\sigma_c^{\chi}\in\chi(T)\times\mathbb{F}^{*},\phi\in\operatorname{Aut}\mathbb{Z}$, $\tau_b\in\mathbb{F}^{\times}$, *and* $\psi_{\underline{e}}$ *as defined in* (3.8), *we have*

$$(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi\tau_b)^{-1}\psi_{\underline{e}}(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi\tau_b)\in\Psi.$$

In fact, we are going to show

$$(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi\tau_b)^{-1}\psi_{\underline{e}}(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi\tau_b)=\psi_{\underline{d}},$$

where $\underline{d}=(d^k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\mathcal{E}$ with d^k defined by $d^k_{\alpha,i}=c^{-1}b^{i-k}e^{\phi(k)+\varphi(\alpha)}_{\frac{\alpha}{a},\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)}$ for any $\alpha\in\Gamma$ and $i\in\mathbb{Z}$. It follows from the definitions of $\sigma_a,\varphi,\sigma_c^{\chi},\phi,\tau_b$ and $\psi_{\underline{e}}$ that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi\tau_b)^{-1}\psi_{\underline{e}}(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi\tau_b)(L_{\alpha,i})=(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi\tau_b)^{-1}\psi_{\underline{e}}(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi)(b^iL_{\alpha,i})\\ &=(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi\tau_b)^{-1}\psi_{\underline{e}}(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi})(b^iL_{\alpha,\phi(i)})=(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi\tau_b)^{-1}\psi_{\underline{e}}(\sigma_a\varphi)(b^i\chi(\alpha)L_{\alpha,\phi(i)})\\ &=(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi\tau_b)^{-1}\psi_{\underline{e}}(\sigma_a)(b^i\chi(\alpha)L_{\alpha,\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)})=(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^{\chi}\phi\tau_b)^{-1}\psi_{\underline{e}}(b^i\chi(\alpha)aL_{\frac{\alpha}{a},\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)})\\ &=\tau_b^{-1}\phi^{-1}(\sigma_c^{\chi})^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(\sigma_a)^{-1}(b^i\chi(\alpha)aL_{\frac{\alpha}{a},\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)}+b^i\chi(\alpha)a\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}e^{\phi(j)+\varphi(\alpha)}_{\frac{\alpha}{a},\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)}M_{\frac{\alpha}{a},\phi(j)+\varphi(\alpha)})\\ &=\tau_b^{-1}\phi^{-1}(\sigma_c^{\chi})^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(b^i\chi(\alpha)L_{\alpha,\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)}+b^i\chi(\alpha)\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}e^{\phi(j)+\varphi(\alpha)}_{\frac{\alpha}{a},\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)}M_{\alpha,\phi(j)+\varphi(\alpha)})\\ &=\tau_b^{-1}\phi^{-1}(\sigma_c^{\chi})^{-1}(b^i\chi(\alpha)L_{\alpha,\phi(i)}+b^i\chi(\alpha)\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}e^{\phi(j)+\varphi(\alpha)}_{\frac{\alpha}{a},\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)}M_{\alpha,\phi(j)})\\ &=\tau_b^{-1}\phi^{-1}(b^iL_{\alpha,\phi(i)}+\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}c^{-1}b^ie^{\phi(j)+\varphi(\alpha)}_{\frac{\alpha}{a},\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)}M_{\alpha,\phi(j)})=\tau_b^{-1}(b^iL_{\alpha,i}+\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}c^{-1}b^ie^{\phi(j)+\varphi(\alpha)}_{\frac{\alpha}{a},\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)}M_{\alpha,j})\\ &=L_{\alpha,i}+\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}c^{-1}b^{i-j}e^{\phi(j)+\varphi(\alpha)}_{\frac{\alpha}{a},\phi(i)+\varphi(\alpha)}M_{\alpha,j}=\psi_{\underline{d}}(L_{\alpha,i}).\end{aligned}$$

While for $M_{\alpha,i}$ and $Y_{\alpha+s,i}$, we have

$$(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^\chi\phi\tau_b)^{-1}\psi_{\underline{e}}(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^\chi\phi\tau_b)(M_{\alpha,i}) = M_{\alpha,i} = \psi_{\underline{d}}(M_{\alpha,i}),$$
$$(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^\chi\phi\tau_b)^{-1}\psi_{\underline{e}}(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^\chi\phi\tau_b)(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = Y_{\alpha+s,i} = \psi_{\underline{d}}(Y_{\alpha+s,i}).$$

Thus, $(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^\chi\phi\tau_b)^{-1}\psi_{\underline{e}}(\sigma_a\varphi\sigma_c^\chi\phi\tau_b) = \psi_{\underline{d}}$, as desired. $\square$

4 Second cohomology group of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma, s)$

We start with some relevant notions. A bilinear form $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\times\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\to\mathbb{F}$ is called a *2-cocycle* on $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\psi(x,y) = -\psi(y,x),$$
$$\psi(x,[y,z]) + \psi(y,[z,x]) + \psi(z,[x,y]) = 0, \quad \forall\ x,y,z\in\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s).$$

Denote by $C^2(\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s),\ \mathbb{F})$ the vector space of 2-cocycles on $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$. For any linear function $f:\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\to\mathbb{F}$, one can define a 2-cocycle ψ_f as follows:

$$\psi_f(x,y) = f([x,y]), \quad \forall\ x,y\in\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s). \tag{4.1}$$

Such a 2-cocycle is called a *2-coboundary* on $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$. Denote by $B^2(\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s),\ \mathbb{F})$ the vector space of 2-coboundaries on $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$. The quotient space

$$H^2(\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s),\ \mathbb{F}) = C^2(\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s),\ \mathbb{F})/B^2(\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s),\ \mathbb{F})$$

is called the *second cohomology group* of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$. The 2-cocyles are closely related to central extensions of Lie algebras. To be more precise, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of equivalence classes of one-dimensional central extensions of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$ by $\mathbb{F}$ and the second cohomology group of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$.

Now let ϕ be a 2-cocycle of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$. Define an $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $f:\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\to\mathbb{F}$ as follows:

$$f(L_{\alpha,i}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(L_{0,0},L_{\alpha,i}) & \text{if } \ \alpha\neq 0,\\ -\frac{1}{4s}\phi(L_{2s,0},L_{-2s,i}) & \text{if } \ \alpha = 0,\end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

$$f(M_{\alpha,i}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(L_{0,0},M_{\alpha,i}) & \text{if } \ \alpha\neq 0,\\ -\frac{1}{2s}\phi(L_{2s,0},M_{-2s,i}) & \text{if } \ \alpha = 0,\end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

$$f(Y_{\alpha+s,i}) = \frac{1}{\alpha+s}\phi(L_{0,0},Y_{\alpha+s,i}), \qquad \forall\ \alpha\in\Gamma. \tag{4.4}$$

Set $\varphi = \phi - \psi_f$, where ψ_f is defined in (4.1). Using the Jacobi identity on the triples $(L_{\alpha,i},L_{\beta,j},M_{\gamma,k})$ and $(L_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j},Y_{\gamma+s,k})$, we respectively get

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma\varphi(L_{\alpha,i},M_{\beta+\gamma,j+k}) &= \varphi(L_{\alpha,i},[L_{\beta,j},M_{\gamma,k}])\\ &= \varphi\big([L_{\alpha,i},L_{\beta,j}],M_{\gamma,k}\big) + \varphi\big(L_{\beta,j},[L_{\alpha,i},M_{\gamma,k}]\big)\\ &= (\beta-\alpha)\varphi(L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j},M_{\gamma,k}) + \gamma\varphi(L_{\beta,j},M_{\alpha+\gamma,i+k}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\gamma-\beta)\varphi(L_{\alpha,i},M_{\beta+\gamma+2s,j+k})=\varphi\big(L_{\alpha,i},[Y_{\beta+s,j},Y_{\gamma+s,k}]\big)\\
&=\varphi\big([L_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j}],Y_{\gamma+s,k}\big)+\varphi\big(Y_{\beta+s,j},[L_{\alpha,i},Y_{\gamma+s,k}]\big)\\
&=(\beta+s-\frac{\alpha}{2})\varphi(Y_{\alpha+\beta+s,i+j},Y_{\gamma+s,k})+(\gamma+s-\frac{\alpha}{2})\varphi(Y_{\beta+s,j},Y_{\alpha+\gamma+s,i+k}) \qquad (4.6)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$ and $i,j,k\in\mathbb{Z}$. Setting $\alpha=i=0$ and $\gamma=-\beta\neq0$ in (4.5), one has $\varphi(L_{0,0},M_{0,j})=0$ for any $j\in\mathbb{Z}$. This together with (4.3) and (4.4) gives

$$\varphi(L_{0,0},M_{\alpha,i})=\varphi(L_{2s,0},M_{-2s,i})=\varphi(L_{0,0},Y_{\alpha+s,i})=0 \text{ for all } \alpha\in\Gamma \text{ and } i\in\mathbb{Z}. \qquad (4.7)$$

For simplicity, denote

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_{\alpha,\beta,i,j}=\varphi(L_{\alpha,i},M_{\beta,j}), && A_{\alpha,i,j}=\varphi(L_{\alpha,i},M_{-\alpha,j}),\\
&B_{\alpha+s,\beta+s,i,j}=\varphi(Y_{\alpha+s,i},Y_{\beta+s,j}), && B_{\alpha+s,i,j}=\varphi(Y_{\alpha+s,i},Y_{-\alpha-s,j})
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma$ and $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$.

We are next devoted to deriving that $A_{\alpha,\beta,i,j}=B_{\alpha,\beta,i,j}=0$ for any $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma$ and $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$. To do this, it first follows by setting $\gamma=-2\alpha,\beta=\alpha$ in (4.5) that $\alpha A_{\alpha,i,j+k}=\alpha A_{\alpha,j,i+k}$. Hence,

$$A_{\alpha,i,j+k}=A_{\alpha,j,i+k},\quad \forall\ \alpha\neq0,i,j,k\in\mathbb{Z}. \qquad (4.8)$$

Let $\alpha=-\gamma\neq0,\beta=0$ in (4.5) and using (4.8), one has

$$A_{0,j,i+k}=0,\quad \forall\ i,j,k\in\mathbb{Z}. \qquad (4.9)$$

Combining (4.8) and (4.9), we have

$$A_{\alpha,i,j+k}=A_{\alpha,j,i+k},\quad \forall\ \alpha\in\Gamma,i,j,k\in\mathbb{Z}. \qquad (4.10)$$

This shows for any given $\alpha\in\Gamma$, the value $A_{\alpha,i,j}$ only depends on the sum $i+j$. So we shortly write $A_{\alpha,i,j}$ as $A_{\alpha,i+j}$ in what follows.

Lemma 4.1. $A_{\alpha,\beta,i,j}=\frac{\alpha^2-2\alpha s}{8s^2}\delta_{\alpha+\beta,0}A_{4s,i+j}$ *for all* $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma$ *and* $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. It follows from (4.7) and by setting $\alpha=i=0$ in (4.5) that $(\beta+\gamma)\varphi(L_{\beta,j},M_{\gamma,k})=0$, which gives $A_{\beta,\gamma,j,k}=\varphi(L_{\beta,j},M_{\gamma,k})=0$ whenever $\beta+\gamma\neq0$. So next we only need to consider the case $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0$ in (4.5).

Putting $\beta=2ps,\gamma=-(\alpha+2ps)$ in (4.5) and using (4.10) gives

$$(\alpha+2ps)A_{\alpha,i}=(\alpha-2ps)A_{\alpha+2ps,i}+(\alpha+2ps)A_{2ps,i},\quad \forall\ \alpha\in\Gamma,i,p\in\mathbb{Z}. \qquad (4.11)$$

Note that $A_{2s,i} = A_{2s,0,i} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (4.7). So in the case $p = 1$ and $\alpha = 2qs$ ($q \in \mathbb{Z}$), (4.11) simply becomes $(q+1)A_{2qs,i} = (q-1)A_{2(q+1)s,i}$, in particular from which we derive $A_{0,i} = -A_{2s,i} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, setting $p = 1$ in (4.11) gives

$$(\alpha + 2s)A_{\alpha,i} = (\alpha - 2s)A_{\alpha+2s,i}, \quad \forall\ \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.12}$$

Replacing α by $\alpha + 2s$ in above equation we get

$$(\alpha + 4s)A_{\alpha+2s,i} = \alpha A_{\alpha+4s,i}, \quad \forall\ \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.13}$$

While setting $p = 2$ in (4.11) we get another relation

$$(\alpha + 4s)A_{\alpha,i} = (\alpha - 4s)A_{\alpha+4s,i} + (\alpha + 4s)A_{4s,i}, \quad \forall\ \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.14}$$

Now it follows from (4.12)$-$(4.14) and taking (4.10) into account that $A_{\alpha,i} = \frac{\alpha^2-2\alpha s}{8s^2}A_{4s,i}$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.2. $A_{\alpha,\beta,i,j} = B_{\alpha+s,\beta+s,i,j} = 0, \quad \forall\ \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.1 and by setting $\alpha = k = 0$ in (4.6) that

$$(\beta + \gamma + 2s)B_{\beta+s,\gamma+s,i,j} = 0.$$

Thus $B_{\beta+s,\gamma+s,i,j} = 0$ if $\beta + \gamma + 2s \neq 0$. While setting $\gamma = -2s$ and $\alpha = -\beta$ in (4.6), we obtain

$$(2s + \beta)A_{-\beta,i+j+k} = -(s + \frac{3\beta}{2})B_{s,i+j,k} + (s - \frac{\beta}{2})B_{\beta+s,j,i+k}, \quad \forall\ \beta \in \Gamma, i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.15}$$

In particular, setting $\beta = 2s$ in above equation gives

$$B_{s,i,j} = -A_{-2s,i+j} = -A_{4s,i+j}, \tag{4.16}$$

where the latter equality follows from Lemma 4.1. Now it follows from Lemma 4.1, (4.15) and (4.16) that

$$B_{\beta+s,j,i+k} = -\frac{4s^2 + 6\beta s + \beta^2}{4s^2}A_{4s,i+j+k} \quad \text{for } \beta \neq 2s. \tag{4.17}$$

For the case $\beta = 2s$, setting $\alpha = 4s, \beta = -2s$ and $\gamma = -4s$ in (4.6) and by (4.17), one has

$$B_{3s,\,i+j,\,k} = -A_{4s,i+j+k}, \quad \forall\ i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.18}$$

In particular, setting $\beta = 4s$ and $\beta = -6s$ in (4.17), we respectively get

$$B_{5s,j,i+k} = -11A_{4s,i+j+k} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{-5s,j,i+k} = -A_{4s,i+j+k}, \quad \forall\ i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.19}$$

Note that $\varphi(Y_{5s,i}, Y_{-5s,j}) = -\varphi(Y_{-5s,j}, Y_{5s,i})$. Hence, $B_{5s,\,i,\,j} = -B_{-5s,\,j,\,i}$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, which together with (4.19) gives rise to $A_{4s,l} = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. This in turn forces for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $A_{\alpha,\beta,i,j} = 0$ by Lemma 4.1 and $B_{\beta+s,i,j} = 0$ by (4.17) and (4.18), completing the proof. □

Lemma 4.3. $\varphi(M_{\alpha,i},M_{\beta,j})=\varphi(L_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j})=\varphi(M_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j})=0,\quad\forall\ \alpha,\beta\in\Gamma,i,j\in\mathbb{Z}.$

Proof. It follows from applying the Jacobi identity to the triple $(L_{0,0},M_{\alpha,i},M_{\beta,j})$ and using (1.3) that $\varphi([L_{0,0},M_{\alpha,i}],M_{\beta,j})+\varphi(M_{\alpha,i},[L_{0,0},M_{\beta,j}])=0$, which gives

$$\varphi(M_{\alpha,i},M_{\beta,j})=0\quad\text{if}\quad\alpha+\beta\neq0. \tag{4.20}$$

On the other hand, from the Jacobi identity on the triple $(L_{-\alpha-2s,0},M_{\alpha,i},M_{2s,j})$ we get $\varphi\big([L_{-\alpha-2s,0},M_{\alpha,i}],M_{2s,j}\big)+\varphi\big(M_{\alpha,i},[L_{-\alpha-2s,0},M_{2s,j}]\big)=0$, i.e.,

$$2s\varphi(M_{\alpha,i},M_{-\alpha,j})=-\alpha\varphi(M_{-2s,i},M_{2s,j}),\quad\forall\ \alpha\in\Gamma,i,j\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

While it follows from the Jacobi identity on the triple $(Y_{-3s,0},Y_{s,i},M_{2s,j})$ that

$$4s\varphi(M_{-2s,i},M_{2s,j})=0,\quad\forall\ i,j\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence, $\varphi(M_{\alpha,i},M_{-\alpha,j})=0$ for $\alpha\in\Gamma,i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$. Combining this with (4.20) gives

$$\varphi(M_{\alpha,i},M_{\beta,j})=0,\quad\forall\ \alpha,\beta\in\Gamma,i,j\in\mathbb{Z},$$

as desired.

Applying the Jacobi identity on the triple $(L_{0,0},L_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta+s-\frac{\alpha}{2})\varphi(L_{0,0},Y_{\alpha+\beta+s,i+j}) &= \varphi\big(L_{0,0},[L_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j}]\big)\\ &= \varphi\big(L_{\alpha,i},[L_{0,0},Y_{\beta+s,j}]\big)+\varphi\big([L_{0,0},L_{\alpha,i}],Y_{\beta+s,j}\big)\\ &= (\beta+s)\varphi(L_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j})+\alpha\varphi(L_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j})\\ &= (\alpha+\beta+s)\varphi(L_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j}).\end{aligned}$$

This together with $\varphi(L_{0,0},Y_{\alpha+s,i})=0$ for all $\alpha\in\Gamma$ and $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ (cf. (4.7)) forces

$$\varphi(L_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j})=0,\quad\forall\ \alpha,\beta\in\Gamma,i,j\in\mathbb{Z},$$

since $0\neq\alpha+\beta+s$ for all $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma$. Similarly, from the Jacobi identity on the triple $(L_{0,0},M_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j})$, we have $(\alpha+\beta+s)\varphi(M_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j})=0$ and therefore $\varphi(M_{\alpha,i},Y_{\beta+s,j})=0$ for all $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma$ and $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$. The proof of this lemma is complete. □

It follows from [23, Theorem 4.3] and Lemmas 4.1–4.3 that we get another main result of the present paper.

Theorem 4.4. *The second cohomology group*

$$H^2(\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s),\ \mathbb{F})=\prod_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{F}\bar{\phi}_k$$

is the direct product of all $\mathbb{F}\bar{\phi}_k,\ k\in\mathbb{Z}$*, where* $\bar{\phi}_k$ *is the cohomology class of* ϕ_k *defined by*

$$\phi_k(L_{\alpha,i},L_{\beta,j})=\delta_{\alpha+\beta,0}\delta_{i+j,k}\frac{\alpha^3-\alpha}{12},\quad \forall\ \alpha,\beta\in\Gamma,i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$$

(*all other components vanish*).

Consider the central extension $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)}=\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)\oplus\big(C\otimes\mathbb{F}[t,t^{-1}]\big)$, whose Lie brackets are given as in Section 1 except the first relation in (1.1) is replaced by

$$[L_{\alpha,i},L_{\beta,j}]=(\beta-\alpha)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}+\delta_{\alpha+\beta,0}\frac{\alpha^3-\alpha}{12}C_{i+j},$$

and in addition,

$$[\widetilde{\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)},C_k]=0\ \text{ for all } \alpha,\beta\in\Gamma \text{ and } i,j,k\in\mathbb{Z},\tag{4.21}$$

where $C_k=C\otimes t^k$. Theorem 4.4 implies that $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)}$ is the universal central extension of $\mathscr{W}(\Gamma,s)$.

References

[1] Q. Chen, J. Han, Y. Su, Structures of not-finitely graded Lie algebras related to generalized Virasoro algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **43** (2015), 3033–3049.

[2] X. Dai, J. Han, Y. Su, Structures of generalized loop super-Virasoro algebras, *Internat. J. Math.* **26** (2015), 1550041, 15pp.

[3] D. Dokovic, K. Zhao, Derivations, isomorphisms, and second cohomology of generalized Witt algebras, *Tran. Ams. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), 643–664.

[4] R. Farnsteiner, Derivations and central extensions of finitely generated graded Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **118** (1988), 33–45.

[5] G. Fan, C. Zhou, X. Yue, Structures of not-finitely graded Lie algebra related to generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Acta Math. Sin.*, in press.

[6] X. Guo, R. Lu, K. Zhao, Simple Harish-Chandra modules, intermediate series modules, and Verma modules over the loop-Virasoro algebra, *Forum Math.* **23** (2011), 1029–1052.

[7] J. Han, J. Li, Y. Su, Lie bialgebra structures on the Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra, *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009), 083504, 12pp.

[8] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Third edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[9] J. Li, Y. Su, Representations of the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **49** (2008), 053512, 14pp.

[10] M. Henkel, Schrödinger invariance and strongly anisotropic critical systems, *J. Stat. Phys.* **75** (1994), 1023–1029.

[11] R. Shen, C. Jiang, Derivation algebra and automorphism group of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Comm. Algebra* **34** (2006), 2547–2558.

[12] G. Song, Y. Su, 2-cocycles on the Lie superalgebras of Weyl type, *Comm. Algebra* **33** (2005), 2991–3007.

[13] G. Song, Y. Su, Derivations and 2-cocycles of contact Lie algebras related to locally-finite derivations, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004), 4613–4631.

[14] Y. Su, 2-Cocycles on the Lie algebras of generalized differantial operators, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), 763–782.

[15] Y. Su, Derivations of generalized Weyl algebras, *Sci. China Math.* **46** (2003), 346–354.

[16] Y. Su, X. Xu, H. Zhang, Derivation-simple algebras and the structures of Lie algebras of Witt type, *J. Algebra* **233** (2000), 642–662.

[17] Y. Su, K. Zhao, Structure of algebras of Weyl type, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004), 1051–1059.

[18] Y. Su, J. Zhou, Structure of the Lie algebras related to those of Block, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), 3205–3226.

[19] S. Tan, X. Zhang, Automorphisms and Verma modules for generalized Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras, *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), 1379–1394.

[20] X. Tang, Z. Zhang, The Structures for the Loop-Witt Algebra, *Acta Math. Sin.* **28** (2012), 2329–2344.

[21] W. Wang, J. Li, B. Xin, Central Extensions and Derivations of Generalized Schrödinger-Virasoro Algebra, *Algebra Colloq.* **19** (2012), 735–744.

[22] W. Wang, J. Li, Y. Xu, Derivation algebra and automorphism of the twisted deformative Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **40** (2012), 3365–3388.

[23] H. Wu, S. Wang, X. Yue, Structures of generalized loop Virasoro algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **42** (2014), 1545–1558.

[24] X. Zhang, S. Tan, Unitary representations for the Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra, *J. Algebra Appl.* **12** (2013), 1250132, 16pp.